UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,375	12/12/2003	Masakatsu Endo	NGBCP005	9762	
	7590 08/24/200 NILLA & GENCAREI	EXAMINER			
710 LAKEWA` SUITE 200		KASSA, HILINA S			
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/734	4,375	ENDO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit					
		HILINA	A S. KASSA	2625					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) file	nd on 31 July 2009	•						
2a)□	•	a on <u>37 <i>ouly 2009</i></u> 2b)⊠ This action i							
3)□		<i>′</i> —		atters prosecution as to th	e merits is				
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		-,,	,					
		oonding in the ann	dication						
•	Claim(s) <u>24,26-30 and 37-39</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
		ie withdrawii hom	Consideration.						
'=	5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>24, 26-30 and 37-39</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) 24, 20-30 and 37-39 is/are Objected to.	rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to:  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electio	n requirement						
0)23	oralin(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electio	irrequirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/20/09, 05/26/09 and 07/3</u>	·	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 					



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,375 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/13/2009 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24, 26-30 and 36-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 24, 26-30, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogiwara et al. (US Patent Number 7,161,701 B2) and Yoshida

et al. (US Patent Number 7,154,619 A1) and further in view of Smart et al. (US Publication Number 2003/0208691 A1).

## (1) regarding claim 24:

As shown in figure 5, Ogiwara et al. disclose an image processing method performed by an image supply device storing image data (column 6, lines 3-33; note that the digital camera can output via the connector image data saved in an internal memory) and an image output device operable to perform a print operation in which an object corresponding to the image data is printed(column 6, lines 36-39; note that by connecting the digital camera with the printer, Image data gets printed), which are connected via a communication path through which the image data is communicated (5000, figure 5; column 29-32; note that the cable 5000 connects the printer and the digital camera), the method comprising:

transmitting, from the image output device to the image supply device (column 7, lines 9-12; note that information about the printer gets received by the camera), a first information item specifying a plurality of objects allocated in a single page layout (column 9, line 66-column 10, line 8; note that the image layout and index printing gets set before the image is transferred to the printer, also in column 4, lines 45-46; the setting of the number of images to be printed is in one single sheet);

Art Unit: 2625

storing the first information item in the image supply device (column 10, lines 9-13; note that the camera issues instruction to start printing and transfers the image file to the printer);

transmitting, from the image output device to the image supply device, a failure notification when the print operation is interrupted under a condition that at least one of the objects is not completely printed (2212, figure 23, column 14, lines 22-26; note that if any error occurs in the printer, it transmits failure notification i.e. PrintDisable to the digital camera. Note that such error could be from print job not completely printed as discussed in line 27-30);

generating, at the image supply device based on the stored first information item when the *failure notification* is received (**column 14**, **lines 26-27**; **note that the digital camera is notified of the error**), a second control information item including a first script configured to resume the interrupted print operation (**column 14**, **lines 27-30**; **note that when printing is interrupted due to an error**, **restart of printing command is sent to the printer i.e. considered a first script**); and

a second script specifying one of the objects which is first printed by the image output device in the single page layout (column 14, lines 34-37; note that the digital camera sends back the image data to be printed to the printing device i.e. considered as a second script specifying the object/image data to be printed. note that the printer prints the images in a single page layout as discussed in column 4, lines 45-46),

Art Unit: 2625

transmitting the second information item from the image supply device to the image output device (column 14, lines 30-32; note that the digital camera transmits print enable/start signal to the printing device); and

Ogiwara et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described as above except for wherein resuming the interrupted print operation *from the specified* one of the objects based on the second information item.

However, Yoshida et al. in figure 4, teaches resuming the interrupted print operation from the specified one of the objects based on the second information item (column 1, line 64-column 2, line 3; note that the printer has a resume function where by the print job processing is continued as soon as the problem is resolved. i.e. power is restored).

Ogiwara et al. and Yoshida et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. static presentation of data for printing. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art resuming the interrupted print operation from the specified one of the objects based on the second information item. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to in order to avoid substantial increase cost that would required to incorporate a large-capacity non-volatile memory (column 2, lines 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ogiwara et al. with Yoshida et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 24.

Ogiwara et al. and Yoshida et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described as above except for wherein at least a part of the first information item

Art Unit: 2625

and at least a part of the second information item are described by a markup language.

However, Smart et al. disclose wherein at least a part of the first information item and at least a part of the second information item are described by a markup language (paragraph [0080], lines 7-17; note that the information exchange between the two devices is described by HTTP/XML language).

Ogiwara et al., Yoshida et al. and Smart et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. static presentation of data for printing. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art wherein at least a part of the first information item and at least a part of the second information item are described by a markup language. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to facilitate the sharing of structured data across different systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ogiwara et al., Yoshida et al. with Smart et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 24.

#### (2) regarding claim 26:

Ogiwara et al. further disclose the image processing method as set forth in claim 24, wherein the first information item is transmitted only in a case where the print operation is interrupted (column 14, lines 30-36; note that the restart of printing is utilized after the printer has been stopped or halted).

### (3) regarding claim 27:

Ogiwara et al. further disclose the image processing method as set forth in claim 24, wherein the first information item is transmitted every time a page break occurs during the print operation (column 13, lines 63-66; note that the restart command is utilized when there is a stop of printing i.e. also considered as the page break).

### (4) regarding claim 28:

Ogiwara et al. further disclose the image processing method as set forth in claim 24, wherein the first information item includes at least one of a path information item indicating where image data corresponding to the object is stored in the image supply device (column 6, lines 32-33; note that the image files are stored in the camera) and a number information item indicating how many times the object is to be supplied to the image output device repetitively (column 9, lines 45-59; note that the images get incremented to be supplied to the printer).

#### (5) regarding claim 29:

Ogiwara et al. further disclose the image processing method as set forth in claim 28, wherein the number information item is corrected so as to indicate a remained number of the repetitive supply of the image data (column 9, line 66-column 10, line 8; note that the number of pages to be printed gets displayed and it is checked to see the number of images to be printed is

correct with the number of printed images), in a case where a page break occurs during the supply of the image data (column 14, lines 26-30).

# (6) regarding claim 30:

Ogiwara et al. further disclose the image processing method as set forth in claim 24, further comprising:

detecting, at the image output device, that the print operation is interrupted (column 14, lines 22-23; note that in case of an error the printer aborts to print);

transmitting, as the first information item, a third script indicating that the print operation is interrupted (column 14, lines 23-25; note that a message is transmitted to the camera about the printer);

detecting, at the image output device, that the interrupted print operation is resumed (column 14, lines 27-30; note that after the printer stops to print, user restarts to print back again); and

transmitting, as the first information item, a fourth script indicating that the interrupted print operation is resumed (column 14, lines 31-36).

### (7) regarding claim 37:

As shown in figure 5, Ogiwara et al. disclose an image processing method performed by an image output device adapted to be connected to an image supply device storing image data (column 6, lines 3-33; note that the digital camera can output via the connector image data saved in an internal

memory), and operable to perform a print operation in which an object corresponding to the image data is printed (column 6, lines 36-39; note that by connecting the digital camera with the printer, Image data gets printed), the method comprising:

transmitting, to the image output device, a first information item specifying a plurality of objects allocated in a single page layout (column 9, line 66-column 10, line 8; note that the image layout and index printing gets set before the image is transferred to the printer, also in column 4, lines 45-46; the setting of the number of images to be printed is in one single sheet);

transmitting, to the image output device, a failure notification when the print operation is interrupted under a condition that at least one of the objects is not completely printed (2212, figure 23, column 14, lines 22-26; note that if any error occurs in the printer, it transmits failure notification i.e.

PrintDisable to the digital camera. Note that such error could be from print job not completely printed as discussed in line 27-30);

receiving, from the image supply device in response to the failure notification (column 14, lines 26-27; note that the digital camera is notified of the error), a second information item including:

a first script configured to resume the interrupted print operation (column 14, lines 27-30; note that when printing is interrupted due to an error, restart of printing command is sent to the printer i.e. considered a first script); and

a second script specifying one of the objects which is first printed in the single page layout (column 14, lines 34-37; note that the digital camera sends back the image data to be printed to the printing device i.e. considered as a second script specifying the object/image data to be printed. note that the printer prints the images in a single page layout as discussed in column 4, lines 45-46); and

Ogiwara et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described as above except for wherein resuming the interrupted print operation *from the specified* one of the objects based on the second information item.

However, Yoshida et al. in figure 4, teaches resuming the interrupted print operation from the specified one of the objects based on the second information item (column 1, line 64-column 2, line 3; note that the printer has a resume function where by the print job processing is continued as soon as the problem is resolved. i.e. power is restored).

Ogiwara et al. and Yoshida et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. static presentation of data for printing. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art resuming the interrupted print operation from the specified one of the objects based on the second information item. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to in order to avoid substantial increase cost that would required to incorporate a large-capacity non-volatile memory (column 2, lines 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ogiwara et al. with Yoshida et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 37.

Ogiwara et al. and Yoshida et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described as above except for wherein at least a part of the first information item and at least a part of the second information item are described by a markup language.

However, Smart et al. disclose wherein at least a part of the first information item and at least a part of the second information item are described by a markup language (paragraph [0080], lines 7-17; note that the information exchange between the two devices is described by HTTP/XML language).

Ogiwara et al., Yoshida et al. and Smart et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. static presentation of data for printing. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art wherein at least a part of the first information item and at least a part of the second information item are described by a markup language. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to facilitate the sharing of structured data across different systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ogiwara et al., Yoshida et al. with Smart et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 37.

#### (8) regarding claim 39:

Ogiwara et al. further disclose an image output device adapted to be connected to an image supply device storing image data, and operable to perform a print operation in which an object corresponding to the image data is

printed, the image output device comprising a communication controller configured to execute the image processing method as set forth in claim 37 (column 6, lines 3-33; note that the digital camera can output via the connector image data saved in an internal memory and column 6, lines 36-39; note that by connecting the digital camera with the printer, Image data gets printed).

5. Claims 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogiwara et al. (US Patent Number 7,161,701 B2) in view of Smart et al. (US Publication Number 2003/0208691 A1).

# (1) regarding claim 36:

As shown in figure 5, Ogiwara et al. disclose an image processing method performed by an image supply device storing image data (column 6, lines 3-33; note that the digital camera can output via the connector image data saved in an internal memory) and adapted to be connected to an image output device operable to perform a print operation in which an object corresponding to the image data is printed (column 6, lines 36-39; note that by connecting the digital camera with the printer, Image data gets printed), the method comprising:

receiving, from the image output device, a first information item specifying a plurality of objects allocated in a single page layout (column 7, lines 9-12;

note that information about the printer is received by the camera); storing the first information item (column 10, lines 9-13; note that the camera issues instruction to start printing and transfers the image file to the printer);

generating, based on the stored first information item when a failure notification is received (column 14, lines 26-27; note that the digital camera is notified of the error), a second information item including:

a first script configured to resume the interrupted print operation (column 14, lines 27-30; note that when printing is interrupted due to an error, restart of printing command is sent to the printer i.e. considered a first script); and

a second script specifying one of the objects which is first printed by the image output device in the single page layout (column 14, lines 34-37; note that the digital camera sends back the image data to be printed to the printing device i.e. considered as a second script specifying the object/image data to be printed. note that the printer prints the images in a single page layout as discussed in column 4, lines 45-46); and

transmitting, to the image output device, the second information item (column 14, lines 30-32; note that the digital camera transmits print enable/start signal to the printing device), wherein:

the failure notification indicates that the print operation is interrupted under a condition that at least one of the objects is not completely printed (column 14, lines 22-26; note that if any error occurs in the printer, it transmits failure

Art Unit: 2625

notification i.e. PrintDisable to the digital camera. Note that such error could be from print job not completely printed as discussed in line 27-30).

Ogiwara et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described as above except for wherein at least a part of the first information item and at least a part of the second information item are described by a markup language.

However, Smart et al. disclose wherein at least a part of the first information item and at least a part of the second information item are described by a markup language (paragraph [0080], lines 7-17; note that the information exchange between the two devices is described by HTTP/XML language).

Ogiwara et al. and Smart et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. static presentation of data for printing. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art wherein at least a part of the first information item and at least a part of the second information item are described by a markup language. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to facilitate the sharing of structured data across different systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ogiwara et al. with Smart et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 36.

#### (2) regarding claim 38:

Ogiwara et al. further disclose an image supply device, operable to store image data and adapted to be connected to an image output device operable to

Application/Control Number: 10/734,375 Page 15

Art Unit: 2625

perform a print operation in which an object corresponding to the image data is printed, the image supply device comprising a communication controller configured to execute the image processing method as set forth in claim 36 (column 6, lines 3-33; note that the digital camera can output via the connector image data saved in an internal memory and column 6, lines 36-39; note that by connecting the digital camera with the printer, Image data gets printed).

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Brewington (US Patent Number 6,661,978 B2) discloses a method and apparatus for automated job recovery.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Hilina Kassa whose telephone number is (571) 270-1676.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore could be reached at (571) 272- 7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information Application/Control Number: 10/734,375 Page 16

Art Unit: 2625

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see <a href="http://pari-direct.uspto.gov">http://pari-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hilina S Kassa/

Examiner, Art Unit 2625

August 20, 2009

/David K Moore/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625